
PRIMARY INSPECTION



Education and Training Inspectorate

Carrowreagh Primary School, Ballymoney, County Antrim

Controlled, co-educational

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in November 2018



Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education
Department for the Economy
and other commissioning Departments



CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
1.	Context	1
2.	Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses	1
3.	Focus of the inspection	1
4.	Overall findings of the inspection	2
5.	Outcomes for learners	2
6.	Quality of provision	2
7.	Leadership and management	2
8.	Safeguarding	3
9.	Overall effectiveness	3
APPEN	IDICES	
A.	Inspection methodology and evidence base	

Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

B.

INTRODUCTION

1. Context

Carrowreagh Primary School is located on the Finvoy Road, four miles south of Ballymoney and four miles north of Rasharkin, in County Antrim. The children come from this locality and the enrolment over the last four years has remained steady at 83 children. There is a newly appointed principal, who took up post at the beginning of November 2018. The school is proud to be the 2017 Northern Ireland winner of the World Wildlife Fund's Green Ambassador Awards for promoting distinguished environmental and sustainable education.

Four of the teaching unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers would be co-operating with the inspectors. The principal co-operated with the inspection team in relation to her safeguarding responsibilities and two representatives of the board of governors, including the chair, also met with the inspectors to share their views of the school. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

Carrowreagh Primary School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Enrolment	83	87	82	83
% School attendance	95.9	95.9	97.9	N/A
% NI Primary School average	95.5	95.5	N/A	N/A
FSME Percentage ¹	8.4	12.6	12.2	18.1
No. of children on SEN register	14	15	13	14
% of children on SEN register	16.9	17.2	15.9	16.9
No. of children with statements of educational needs	*	*	*	*
No. of newcomer children	0	0	0	0

Source: data as held by the school.

2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses

As a result of the action short of strike, the school did not distribute the online, confidential questionnaire information to children, parents and staff. Therefore, it is not possible to report on the views of children, parents and staff.

3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate the:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on literacy;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on literacy including across the curriculum; and
- quality of leadership and management.

¹ The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of pupils entitled to free school meals.

^{*} fewer than 5 N/A not available

4. Overall findings of the inspection

Overall effectiveness	Unable to assure of the quality of education	
Outcomes for learners	No performance level available	
Quality of provision	No performance level available	
Leadership and management	No performance level available	

KEY FINDINGS

5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

6. Quality of provision

• A group of children from year 6 and year 7, who met with the inspectors, spoke very positively about aspects of the school's provision, including the encouragement they receive for behaving well and caring for each other. The children spoke well about the range of sporting and musical opportunities they have and the opportunities to take on leadership roles and contribute to charitable and community activities. They spoke about the good help they receive from their teachers, in order to improve their work, and spoke confidently about the benefits of the school's environmental curriculum and Eco-initiatives.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

7. Leadership and management

 The governors who met with the inspectors were very knowledgeable about the life and work of the school and reported that they were kept well informed and involved. They were supportive of the staff and were positive about the school's role in the local community. The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.
- It will be important that the employing authority, school governors and the staff continue to plan for, and manage, issues related to the sustainability of the school provision and school budget, in order to address the current and future needs of the children and the staff.

8. Safeguarding

During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. The year 6 children, who met with the inspectors, reported that they feel safe in school and are aware of what to do if they have any concerns about their safety or welfare. However, owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.

9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality education provided for the children. The school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: The Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework (ISEF): Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- meetings with representatives from the governors;
- meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete an online, confidential questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- meetings with the chair of governors, the designated governor and principal; and
- meetings with selections of year 6 and 7 children.

Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

Quantitative terms

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

Almost/nearly all	-	more than 90%
Most	-	75% - 90%
A majority	-	50% - 74%
A significant minority	-	30% - 49%
A minority	-	10% - 29%
Very few/a small number	-	less than 10%

Performance levels

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management²:

Outstanding
Very good
Good
Important area(s) for improvement
Requires significant improvement
Requires urgent improvement

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

High degree of confidence	
Confidence	
Limited confidence	

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

Reflects the guidance	
Reflects broadly the guidance	
Unsatisfactory	

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

Does not impact positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

5

² And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

Overall effectiveness

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2019 This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated. Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk