
# Education and Training Inspectorate PRIMARY INSPECTION



# Newcastle Primary School and Nursery Unit, Newcastle, County Down

Controlled, co-educational DE ref no (401 1698)

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in January 2019



Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education Department for the Economy and other commissioning Departments



## CONTENTS

Section		Page	
1.	Context	1	
2.	Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses	1	
3.	Focus of the inspection	1	
4.	Overall findings of the inspection	2	
5.	Outcomes for learners	2	
6.	Quality of provision	2	
7.	Leadership and management	2	
8.	Safeguarding	3	
9.	Overall effectiveness	3	

### APPENDICES

- A. Inspection methodology and evidence base
- B. Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1. Context

Newcastle Primary School and Nursery Unit is a controlled primary school situated in Newcastle town. The children who attend the school come from the local and surrounding areas. The school participates in a well-established shared education partnership with a local maintained primary school.

Four of the teaching unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers would be co-operating with the inspectors. The principal, the designated teacher (DT) and deputy designated teacher (DT) co-operated with the inspection team in relation to their safeguarding responsibilities. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

Newcastle Primary School and Nursery Unit	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Enrolment	184	187	182	184
% School attendance	92.3	93.4	N/A	N/A
% NI Primary school average	95.5	95.5	95.5	N/A
FSME Percentage <sup>1</sup>	32.6	34.8	26.4	29.8 16.8%
No. of children on SEN register	32	26	33	30
% of children on SEN register	24.2	19.3	25.4	22.7
No. of children with statements of educational need	7	8	7	7
No. of newcomer children	12	12	9	*

<sup>1</sup>*Source:* data as held by the school. N/A not available

\* fewer than 5

#### 2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses

As a result of the action short of strike, the school did not distribute the online, confidential questionnaire information to children, parents and staff. Therefore, it is not possible to report on the views of children, parents and staff.

#### 3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate the:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on literacy and numeracy;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on literacy and numeracy including across the curriculum and the nursery unit; and
- quality of leadership and management.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of children entitled to free school meals.

Where it has been possible to evaluate aspects of the foci, they have been reported below.

#### 4. Overall findings of the inspection

Overall effectiveness	Unable to assure the quality of education	
Outcomes for learners	No performance level available	
Quality of provision	No performance level available	
Leadership and management	No performance level available	
Nursery Unit	No performance level available	

#### **KEY FINDINGS**

#### 5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

#### 6. Quality of provision

The children, with whom the inspectors met, spoke positively about the opportunity they have to learn with children from another school through the shared education programme.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

#### 7. Leadership and management

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

#### 8. Safeguarding

During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. The children, with whom inspectors met, report that they feel safe in the school and are aware of what to do if they have any concerns about their safety or welfare. However, owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.

#### 9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the teachers, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. The school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

#### APPENDIX A

#### Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: <u>The</u> <u>Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework (ISEF)</u>: <u>Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation</u> <u>Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate</u>

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- a meeting with representatives from the governors;
- meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete an online, confidential questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- a meeting with the principal, designated teacher and deputy designated teacher for child protection;
- a meeting with the chairperson and designated governor; and
- a meeting with a group of year 6 children.

#### Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

Almost/nearly all	-	more than 90%
Most	-	75% - 90%
A majority	-	50% - 74%
A significant minority	-	30% - 49%
A minority	-	10% - 29%
Very few/a small number	-	less than 10%

#### Performance levels

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management<sup>2</sup>:

Outstanding
Very good
Good
Important area(s) for improvement
Requires significant improvement
Requires urgent improvement

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

High degree of confidence
Confidence
Limited confidence

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

Reflects the guidance	
Reflects broadly the guidance	
Unsatisfactory	

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners. Does not impact positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

#### **Overall effectiveness**

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

#### © CROWN COPYRIGHT 2019

This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated.

Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk