
PRIMARY INSPECTION



Education and Training Inspectorate

Queen Elizabeth II Primary School, Omagh, County Tyrone

Controlled, co-educational

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in October 2018



Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education
Department for the Economy
and other commissioning Departments



CONTENTS

SECTIO	ECTION	
1.	Context	1
2.	Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses	1
3.	Focus of the inspection	1
4.	Overall findings of the inspection	2
5.	Outcomes for learners	2
6.	Quality of provision	2
7.	Leadership and management	2
8.	Safeguarding	3
9.	Overall effectiveness	3
APPEN	DICES	
A.	Health and safety/accommodation	
B.	Inspection methodology and evidence base	
C.	Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate	

INTRODUCTION

1. Context

Queen Elizabeth II Primary School is a controlled primary school situated in Kilskeery, County Tyrone. All of the children attending the school come from the local area. The enrolment is steady over the past four years and stands at 48 children. The percentage of children entitled to free school meals has remained steady in the past four years and the proportion requiring additional help with aspects of their learning has remained steady.

Four of the teaching unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers would be co-operating with the inspectors. The principal, who is the designated teacher for child protection, co-operated with the inspection team in relation to safeguarding responsibilities. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

Queen Elizabeth II Primary School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Enrolment	42	45	45	48
% School attendance	97.5	98.2	97.4	N/A
% NI Primary school average	95.5	95.5	N/A	N/A
FSME Percentage ¹	*	17	17	13
No. of children on SEN register	9	20	8	8
% of children on SEN register	21	44	18	17
No. of children with statements of	*	*	*	*
educational need				
No. of newcomer children	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: data as held by the school.

2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses

As a result of the action short of strike, the school did not distribute the online, confidential questionnaire information to children, parents and staff. Therefore, it is not possible to report on the views of children, parents and staff.

3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on literacy;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on literacy including across the curriculum; and
- quality of leadership and management.

^{*} fewer than 5 N/A not available

¹ The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of children entitled to free school meals.

4. Overall findings of the inspection

Overall effectiveness	Unable to assure the quality of education		
Outcomes for learners	No performance level available		
Quality of provision	No performance level available		
Leadership and management	No performance level available		

KEY FINDINGS

5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

6. Quality of provision

- In discussion with the inspectors, a group of year 6 children spoke very positively about the enjoyable learning experiences in music, art and French lessons, and the friendly family ethos in the school. They reported that there is a programme of after-school activities which they enjoy and in which the children develop a range of skills.
- The children's creative artwork is presented attractively on the corridor displays and the children report that the Christmas play involves all the children in a range of roles.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

7. Leadership and management

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

8. Safeguarding

- During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. A group of year 6 children reported that they feel safe in the school and that they are aware of what to do if they have any concerns about their safety or welfare. However, the school needs to:
 - develop further the critical incident policy and procedures and complete any associated risk assessment procedures.

However, owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.

9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. The school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

APPENDIX A

Health and safety/accommodation

1. The boundary fence around the grass play area is too low and may not fully secure controlled access to the school site.

Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: <u>The Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework (ISEF)</u>: <u>Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate</u>

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- a meeting with a representative from the governors;
- · meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete an online, confidential questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- a meeting with the principal as designated teacher for child protection in relation to safeguarding responsibilities;
- access to the school's documentation relating to child protection/safeguarding and a tour of the building to ascertain the safety of the school site;
- a meeting with a small group of year 6 children; and
- access to the school development plan and supporting documentation.

Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

Quantitative terms

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

Almost/nearly all	-	more than 90%
Most	-	75% - 90%
A majority	-	50% - 74%
A significant minority	-	30% - 49%
A minority	-	10% - 29%
Very few/a small number	-	less than 10%

Performance levels

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management²:

Outstanding
Very good
Good
Important area(s) for improvement
Requires significant improvement
Requires urgent improvement

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

High degree of confidence
Confidence
Limited confidence

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

Reflects the guidance
Reflects broadly the guidance
Unsatisfactory

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

Does not impact positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

² And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

Overall effectiveness

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2018 This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated. Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk