# Education and Training Inspectorate PRIMARY INSPECTION



## St Ita's Primary School and Nursery Unit, Belfast

Maintained, co-educational DE Ref No: 403-6642

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in November 2019

The Education and Training Inspectorate Promoting Improvement

Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education Department for the Economy and other commissioning Departments



### CONTENTS

| SECTION |  | PAGE |  |
|---------|--|------|--|
| 1.      | Context  | 1    |  |
| 2.      | Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses | 1    |  |
| 3.      | Focus of the inspection                                | 1    |  |
| 4.      | Overall findings of the inspection                     | 2    |  |
| 5.      | Outcomes for learners                                  | 2    |  |
| 6.      | Quality of provision                                   | 2    |  |
| 7.      | Leadership and management                              | 2    |  |
| 8.      | Safeguarding   | 3    |  |
| 9.      | Overall effectiveness                                  | 3    |  |
|         |  |      |  |

#### APPENDICES

- A. Inspection methodology and evidence base
- B. Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1. Context

St Ita's Primary School and Nursery Unit is a maintained school situated in a residential area off the main Saintfield Road, in the Parish of Drumbo and Carryduff.

The trade unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute, and also workload and other management issues. The industrial action includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers or leadership would be co-operating with the inspection. The senior leadership co-operated with the inspection in relation to safeguarding responsibilities. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the standards of education and professional practice among teachers under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

| St Ita's Primary School                             | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Enrolment   | 661     | 670     | 681     | 688     |
| % School attendance                                 | 97.6    | 97.7    | 97.9    | N/A     |
| % NI Primary school average                         | 95.5    | 94.9    | N/A     | N/A     |
| FSME Percentage <sup>1</sup>                        | 4.2     | 3.3     | 3.1     | 3.1     |
| No. of children on SEN register                     | 92      | 69      | 67      | 82      |
| % of children on SEN register                       | 13.9    | 10.3    | 9.8     | 11.9    |
| No. of children with statements of educational need | 21      | 23      | 20      | 19      |
| No. of newcomer children                            | 14      | 12      | 19      | 21      |

*Source:* data as held by the school. N/A not available

#### 2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses

As a result of the action short of strike, the school did not distribute the confidential, online questionnaire information to year 7 children, parents and staff. Therefore, it is not possible to report on the views of children, parents and staff.

#### 3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on numeracy and literacy;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on numeracy and literacy including across the curriculum and the nursery unit; and
- quality of leadership and management.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of children entitled to free school meals.

#### 4. Overall findings of the inspection

| Overall effectiveness Unable to assure the quality of education |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Outcomes for learners   | No performance level available |
| Quality of provision  | No performance level available |
| Leadership and management                                       | No performance level available |
| Nursery Unit  | No performance level available |

#### **KEY FINDINGS**

#### 5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

#### 6. Quality of provision

• The children from year 6, who met with the inspectors, talked about the wide range of learning and extra-curricular experiences available to them and their appreciation of the support they receive with their learning from teachers and classroom assistants. They discussed the opportunities they have to take on roles of responsibility within the school and develop their leadership skills, as, for example: members of the student council; 'digital leaders'; eco-leaders; and through the class 'buddy system.'

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

#### 7. Leadership and management

• During discussions with representatives of the governors, they outlined their pro-active role in all aspects of the provision and leadership of safeguarding and child-protection matters across the whole school community and also their impact on the wider educational sector.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

#### 8. Safeguarding

• During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. The children report that they feel safe in the school and that they are aware of what to do if they have any concerns about their safety or welfare. They are very secure in their knowledge about how to keep safe online. However, owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.

#### 9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. The school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

#### APPENDIX A

#### Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: <u>The</u> <u>Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework (ISEF)</u>: <u>Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation</u> <u>Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate</u>

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- a meeting with representatives of the governors;
- meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete a confidential, online questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- a discussion with year 6 children;
- a scrutiny of safeguarding and child protection documentation;
- a meeting with the principal and vice-principals; and
- a meeting with representatives of the board of governors.

#### Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

#### **Quantitative terms**

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

| Almost/nearly all       | - | more than 90% |
|-------------------------|---|---------------|
| Most                    | - | 75% - 90%     |
| A majority              | - | 50% - 74%     |
| A significant minority  | - | 30% - 49%     |
| A minority              | - | 10% - 29%     |
| Very few/a small number | - | less than 10% |

#### Performance levels

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management<sup>2</sup>:

| Outstanding                       |
|-----------------------------------|
| Very good                         |
| Good                              |
| Important area(s) for improvement |
| Requires significant improvement  |
| Requires urgent improvement       |

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

| High degree of confidence |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Confidence                |  |
| Limited confidence        |  |

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

| Reflects the guidance         |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Reflects broadly the guidance |  |
| Unsatisfactory                |  |

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners. Does not impacts positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

#### **Overall effectiveness**

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

#### © CROWN COPYRIGHT 2020

This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated.

Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk