
Education and Training Inspectorate PRE-SCHOOL INSPECTION



Bethlehem Nursery School, Belfast

Maintained nursery school DE Ref No: 113-0027

Report of an Inspection (involving Action Short of Strike) in March 2020



Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education Department for the Economy and other commissioning Departments



CONTENTS

| Section | | Page |
|---------|------------------------------------|------|
| 1. | Context | 1 |
| 2. | Views of parents and staff | 1 |
| 3. | Focus of the inspection | 1 |
| 4. | Overall findings of the inspection | 1 |
| 5. | Outcomes for learners | 1 |
| 6. | Quality of provision | 2 |
| 7. | Leadership and management | 2 |
| 8. | Safeguarding | 2 |
| 9. | Overall effectiveness | 2 |
| | | |

Appendices

| A. Inspection methodology and evidence | base |
|--|------|
|--|------|

B. Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

INTRODUCTION

1. Context

Bethlehem Nursery is located on the Ravenhill Road, adjacent to St Michael's Primary School and occupies a purpose built facility. At the time of the inspection all teaching staff were acting in a temporary capacity and there was no chair of the board of governors.

The trade unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute, and also workload and other management issues. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers would be co-operating with the inspection. The leadership, designated teacher and governors co-operated with the inspection team in relation to their safeguarding responsibilities. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

2. Views of parents and staff

As a result of action short of strike, the school did not distribute the online questionnaire information to parents and staff. Therefore it is not possible to report on the views of parents and staff.

3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- outcomes for learners;
- quality of provision; and
- quality of leadership and management.

4. Overall findings of the inspection

| Overall effectiveness | Unable to assure the quality of education |
|---------------------------|---|
| Outcomes for learners | No performance level available |
| Provision for learning | No performance level available |
| Leadership and management | No performance level available |

KEY FINDINGS

5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for children;
- the progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

6. Quality of provision

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

7. Leadership and management

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

8. Safeguarding

During the inspection, the nursery provided evidence that arrangements for safeguarding the children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. However, owing to action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the nursery school. Bethlehem Nursery School needs to appoint a chair of governors and ensure the relevant training for the chair and designated governor is completed.

CONCLUSION

9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. The nursery school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

APPENDIX A

Inspection method and evidence base

The effective practice and self-evaluation questions which guide inspection and self-evaluation of pre-school settings, which were applied to this inspection, are available in the ETI publication *The Pre-school Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework* at: <u>https://www.etini.gov.uk/publications/inspection-and-self-evaluation-framework-isef-effective-practice-and-self-evaluation</u>

Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

| Almost/nearly all | - | more than 90% |
|-------------------------|---|---------------|
| Most | - | 75% - 90% |
| A majority | - | 50% - 74% |
| A significant minority | - | 30% - 49% |
| A minority | - | 10% - 29% |
| Very few/a small number | - | less than 10% |

Performance levels

The Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI) use the following performance levels when reporting on Achievement and standards, on Provision for learning and on Leadership and management:

| Outstanding | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Very good | | |
| Good | | |
| Important area(s) for improvement | | |
| Requires significant improvement | | |
| Requires urgent improvement | | |

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

| High degree of confidence |
|---------------------------|
| Confidence |
| Limited confidence |

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

| Reflects the guidance |
|-------------------------------|
| Reflects broadly the guidance |
| Unsatisfactory |

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners Does not impacts positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners

Overall effectiveness

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2020

This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated.

Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk